

Report Identification Number: NY-16-073 Prepared by: New York City Regional Office

Issue Date: 12/27/2016

This	s report, prepared pursuant to section 20(5) of the Social Services Law (SSL), concerns:
X	A report made to the New York Statewide Central Register of Child Abuse and Maltreatment (SCR) involving the death of a child.
	The death of a child for whom child protective services has an open case.
	The death of a child whose care and custody or custody and guardianship has been transferred to an authorized agency.
	The death of a child for whom the local department of social services has an open preventive service case.

The Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) is mandated by section 20 of the SSL to investigate or cause for the investigation of the cause and circumstances surrounding the death, review such investigation, and prepare and issue a fatality report in regard to the categories of deaths noted above involving a child, except where a local or regional fatality review team issues a report, as authorized by law.

Such report must include: the cause of death; the identification of child protective or other services provided or actions taken regard to such child and child's family; any extraordinary or pertinent information concerning the circumstances of the child's death; whether the child or the child's family received assistance, care or services from the social services district prior to the child's death; any action or further investigation undertaken by OCFS or the social services district since the child's death; and as appropriate, recommendations for local or state administrative or policy changes.

This report contains no information that would identify the deceased child, his or her siblings, the parent, parents, or other persons legally responsible for the child, and any members of the deceased child's household.

By statute, this report will be forwarded to the social services district, chief county executive officer, chairperson of the local legislative body of the county where the child died and the social services district that had legal custody of the child, if different. Notice of the issuance of this report will be sent to the Speaker of the Assembly and the Temporary President of the State of New York.

This report may <u>only</u> be disclosed to the public by OCFS pursuant to section 20(5) of the SSL. It may be released by OCFS only after OCFS has determined that such disclosure is not contrary to the best interests of the deceased child's siblings or other children in the household.

OCFS' review included an examination of actions taken by individual caseworkers and supervisors within the social services district and agencies under contract with the social services district. The observations and recommendations contained in this report reflect OCFS' assessment and the performance of these agencies.

NY-16-073 FINAL Page 1 of 11



Abbreviations

Relationships						
BM-Biological Mother	SM-Subject Mother	SC-Subject Child				
BF-Biological Father	SF-Subject Father	OC-Other Child				
MGM-Maternal Grand Mother	MGF-Maternal Grand Father	FF-Foster Father				
PGM-Paternal Grand Mother	PGF-Paternal Grand Father	DCP-Day Care Provider				
MGGM-Maternal Great Grand Mother	MGGF-Maternal Great Grand Father	PGGF-Paternal Great Grand Father				
PGGM-Paternal Great Grand Mother	MA/MU-Maternal Aunt/Maternal Uncle	PA/PU-Paternal Aunt/Paternal Uncle				
FM-Foster Mother	SS-Surviving Sibling					

Contacts						
LE-Law Enforcement	CW-Case Worker	CP-Case Planner				
DrDoctor	ME-Medical Examiner	EMS-Emergency Medical Services				
DC-Day Care	FD-Fire Department	BM-Biological Mother				
CPR-Cardio-pulmonary Resuscitation						
	Allegations					
FX-Fractures	II-Internal Injuries	L/B/W-Lacerations/Bruises/Welts				
S/D/S-Swelling/Dislocation/Sprains	C/T/S-Choking/Twisting/Shaking	B/S-Burns/Scalding				
P/Nx-Poisoning/ Noxious Substance	XCP-Excessive Corporal Punishment	PD/AM-Parent's Drug Alcohol Misuse				
CD/A-Child's Drug/Alcohol Use	LMC-Lack of Medical Care	EdN-Educational Neglect				
EN-Emotional Neglect	SA-Sexual Abuse	M/FTTH-Malnutrition/Failure-to-thrive				
IF/C/S-Inadequate Food/ Clothing/ Shelter	IG-Inadequate Guardianship	LS-Lack of Supervision				
Ab-Abandonment	OTH/COI-Others					
	Miscellaneous					
IND-Indicated	UNF-Unfounded	SO-Sexual Offender				
Sub-Substantiated	Unsub-Unsubstantiated	DV-Domestic Violence				
LDSS-Local Department of Social	LDSS-Local Department of Social ACS-Administration for Children's					
Service	Services	Department				
PPRS-Purchased Preventive Rehabilitative Services	TANF-Temporary Assistance to Needy Families	FC-Foster Care				
MH-Mental Health	ER-Emergency Room					

NY-16-073 FINAL Page 2 of 11



Case Information

Report Type: Child Deceased **Jurisdiction:** Kings **Date of Death:** 07/11/2016

Age: 4 year(s) Gender: Male Initial Date OCFS Notified: 07/11/2016

Presenting Information

On 7/11/16, the SCR registered a report regarding the death of this four-year-old male SC. The report alleged on 7/10/16, the BF did not adequately supervise the SC. As a result, the SC was able to gain access to an above ground pool. The SC was later found face down in the pool. After medical treatment, the SC was pronounced dead on 7/11/16.

Executive Summary

The SC died on 7/11/16 after drowning in a pool at a campground in Sullivan County. According to the case records, at 6:25 P.M. on 7/10/16, the BF was unloading groceries outside of the family's rented home at the campground when the SC wandered off. When the BF realized the SC was not in sight, he and the BM began to search for the SC. Approximately ten minutes later, the BM found the SC face down in the above ground pool. The BF retrieved the SC from the pool and an off duty life guard gave the SC CPR. The family called a religious ambulance service which arrived to the scene moments later. The EMT staff took over and continued CPR on the SC. The SC was transported to a nearby hospital where he was stabilized. He was then taken by helicopter to another hospital where he passed away at 6:16 A.M. on 7/11/2016. Due to the family's religion, the parents refused an autopsy; however, the ME completed an external exam on the SC. The SC's death certificate read the cause and manner of death were undetermined.

Sullivan County Department of Social Services (SCDSS) and ACS Brooklyn Field Office conducted the investigation and made contact with the family on the same day the report was received. Throughout the investigation, the family was cooperative and the statements they provided regarding the incident were consistent. Also, SCDSS and ACS conducted home visits and the surviving siblings were assessed as being safe with their parents.

On 9/13/16, ACS substantiated the allegations of the report against the BPs. Given the SC's age, the condition of the pool and its apparent easy access, the BPs should have provided the SC a higher level of supervision. The BPs failed to provide such supervision; as a result, the SC drowned in the swimming pool.

The surviving siblings remained in the home and were deemed safe in the care of the BPs. ACS closed the CPS investigation and kept the case open for services.

During the investigation, ACS exhibited good practice by collaborating with SCDSS. Also, the Specialist was sensitive to and respectful of the family's religion and culture and did not engage the family officially during the seven days of mourning.

Findings Related to the CPS Investigation of the Fatality

Safety Assessment:



 Was sufficient information gat the: 	hered to make the decision recorded on	
 Approved Initial Safety 	Assessment?	Yes
	at the time of determination?	Yes
·	approved Initial Safety Assessment	Yes
appropriate?	approved initial Safety Assessment	105
Determination:		
• Was sufficient information gat	thered to make determination(s) for all	Yes, sufficient information was
	rs identified in the course of the	gathered to determine all
investigation?		allegations.
 Was the determination made be appropriate? 	by the district to unfound or indicate	Yes
Was the decision to close the case app	ropriate?	N/A
	with appropriate and relevant statutor	y Yes
or regulatory requirements?		
Was there sufficient documentation o	f supervisory consultation?	Yes, the case record has detail of
		the consultation.
Explain:		
The SS remained in the home and were	deemed safe in the care of the BPs. ACS	kept the case open for services.
	Required Actions Related to the Fatality	
Are there Required Actions related to	the compliance issue(s)? □Yes ⊠No	
Fatality-Ro	elated Information and Investigativ	ve Activities
	Incident Information	
Date of Death: 07/11/2016	Time of Death: 06:	16 AM
Date of fatal incident, if different than	a data of dooth, 07/10/2016	
Time of fatal incident, if different that		
Time of ratal incident, if different tha	ii time of death. 00.23 FW	
County where fatality incident occurr	ed: SULLI	VAN
Was 911 or local emergency number of		, ,
Time of Call:	Unknov	vn
Did EMS to respond to the scene?	Yes	,
At time of incident leading to death, h		
Child's activity at time of incident:		
☐ Sleeping	☐ Working	☐ Driving / Vehicle occupant
☐ Playing	☐ Eating	☐ Unknown
☑ Other: Swimming		
NY-16-073	FINAL	Page 4 of 11



Did child have supervision at time of incident leading to death? No - but needed At time of incident supervisor was: Unknown if they were impaired.

Total number of deaths at incident event:

Children ages 0-18: 1

Household Composition at time of Fatality

Household	Relationship	Role	Gender	Age
Deceased Child's Household	Deceased Child	Alleged Victim	Male	4 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Father	Alleged Perpetrator	Male	44 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Mother	Alleged Perpetrator	Female	44 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Sibling	No Role	Female	13 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Sibling	No Role	Male	8 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Sibling	No Role	Male	11 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Sibling	No Role	Male	6 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Sibling	No Role	Male	17 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Sibling	No Role	Male	15 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Sibling	No Role	Female	11 Month(s)

LDSS Response

On 7/11/16, the Specialist contacted Sullivan County LE staff who confirmed the incident. The staff did not provide any information that suggested the biological parents (BPs) caused the SC's death.

Later that same day, the family returned to NYC and the Specialist visited the family for an assessment. The MGM did not allow the Specialist to assess the home. She brought the surviving siblings (SS) except the eleven-month-old infant to the door for observation. The BM was reportedly nursing the infant and was not interviewed at the time of the visit. There were no concerns for the observed SS. The BF was not present in the home and the MGM did not provide any information about the fatality.

The SC's cause and manner of death were undetermined.

On 7/12/16, the Specialist visited the family. The BPs provided an account of the incident which revealed that the SC was left unsupervised at the time he wandered into the pool. They estimated the SC was missing for about ten minutes. The BPs reported they were receiving support from their community but still accepted the Specialist's offer of PPRS services. The Specialist observed all the SS and deemed them safe at the time of the visit.

Also on 7/12/16, the assigned LE staff stated the pool was not in use and unsecured at the time of the incident. The staff reported a number of violations at the property and that the County's Department of Health and code enforcement were involved in the investigation.

NY-16-073 FINAL Page 5 of 11



On 7/15/16, the children's primary Dr. reported the SC and his seventeen, fifteen and five-year-old SS had developmental delays and received school based services. The SS' immunizations were current. They were not on any medication regiment and did not have any medical issues. The Dr. did not report any concerns regarding the parents' ability to care for the SS and there is no documentation the parents are limited in any way.

On 7/20/16, ACS held a Child Safety Conference (CSC) to protect the SS. The CSC recommended Court Ordered Supervision and PPRS services for the family. The family agreed to engage in services.

On 7/21/16, ACS attempted to file an Article 10 Petition in Kings County Family Court but the Family Court Legal Services team declined to file the petition contingent upon the parents' compliance with services.

On 7/26/16, ACS documented the family had returned to the campground. ACS requested Sullivan County Department of Social Services (SCDSS) to visit/supervise the family.

Between 8/5/16 and 9/1/16, the SCDSS made multiple visits to the family. The family did not provide any new information about the fatality. Also, there were no safety concerns identified for the SS. The owners of the resort had made necessary repair to the family's rented home. The pool had been secured and not authorized for use. SCDSS offered the family some services available in the community but the BF said the family would wait until they returned to NYC to begin services.

On 9/1/16, the family returned to NYC and on 9/2/16, the Specialist visited the case address. There were no concerns for the family. The family received the beds ACS ordered on 8/1/16 and the beds were assembled in the bedrooms. They reported continued support from the community.

On 9/13/16, ACS substantiated the allegations of the report against the BPs. ACS based its decision on the information obtained during the CPS investigation which revealed the parents left the SC unsupervised for an undisclosed period of time. As a result, the SC drowned in a pool. The SC had developmental delays and did not know how to swim. Given the SC's age, developmental delays, the condition of the pool and its apparent easy access, the BPs should have provided the SC with closer supervision.

The SS remained in the home and were deemed safe in the care of the BPs. ACS kept the case open for services.

Official Manner and Cause of Death

Official Manner: Undetermined

Primary Cause of Death: Undetermined if injury or medical cause

Person Declaring Official Manner and Cause of Death: Medical Examiner

Multidisciplinary Investigation/Review

Was the fatality investigation conducted by a Multidisciplinary Team (MDT)?No Comments: The investigation adhered to approved protocols for joint investigation.

Was the fatality reviewed by an OCFS approved Child Fatality Review Team? No

NY-16-073 FINAL Page 6 of 11



SCR Fatality Report Summary

Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Allegation Outcome	
029761 - Deceased Child, Male, 4 Yrs	029763 - Father, Male, 44 Year(s)	Inadequate Guardianship	Substantiated	
029761 - Deceased Child, Male, 4 Yrs	029763 - Father, Male, 44 Year(s)	Lack of Supervision	Substantiated	
029761 - Deceased Child, Male, 4 Yrs	032981 - Mother, Female, 44 Year(s)	DOA / Fatality	Substantiated	
029761 - Deceased Child, Male, 4 Yrs	032981 - Mother, Female, 44 Year(s)	Lack of Supervision	Substantiated	
029761 - Deceased Child, Male, 4 Yrs	029763 - Father, Male, 44 Year(s)	DOA / Fatality	Substantiated	
029761 - Deceased Child, Male, 4 Yrs	032981 - Mother, Female, 44 Year(s)	Inadequate Guardianship	Substantiated	

CPS Fatality Casework/Investigative Activities

	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
All children observed?	X			
When appropriate, children were interviewed?	X			
Alleged subject(s) interviewed face-to-face?	×			
All 'other persons named' interviewed face-to-face?			×	
Contact with source?	×			
All appropriate Collaterals contacted?	×			
Was a death-scene investigation performed?				×
Was there discussion with all parties (youth, other household members, and staff) who were present that day (if nonverbal, observation and comments in case notes)?	X			
Coordination of investigation with law enforcement?	×			
Did the investigation adhere to established protocols for a joint investigation?	X			
Was there timely entry of progress notes and other required documentation?	×			

NY-16-073 FINAL Page 7 of 11



Fatality Safety Assessment Activities Unable to No N/A Yes Determine \square Were there any surviving siblings or other children in the household? Was there an adequate safety assessment of impending or immediate danger to surviving siblings/other children in the household named in the report: X Within 24 hours? П X \Box At 7 days? П П X At 30 days? Was there an approved Initial Safety Assessment for all surviving \times П siblings/ other children in the household within 24 hours? Are there any safety issues that need to be referred back to the local \Box X district? When safety factors were present that placed the surviving siblings/other children in the household in impending or immediate X \Box danger of serious harm, were the safety interventions, including parent/caretaker actions adequate? Fatality Risk Assessment / Risk Assessment Profile Unable to N/A Yes No Determine X \Box Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case? During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information $|\mathsf{X}|$ \Box gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the household? X \Box \Box Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services? Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a |X| \Box petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation? \boxtimes Were appropriate/needed services offered in this case Placement Activities in Response to the Fatality Investigation Unable to N/A Yes No **Determine** |X|Did the safety factors in the case show the need for the surviving

NY-16-073 FINAL Page 8 of 11



siblings/other children in the household be removed or placed in foster care at any time during this fatality investigation?		
Were there surviving siblings/other children in the household removed as a result of this fatality report/investigation?	X	

Legal Activity Related to the Fatality

Was there legal activity as a result of the fatality investigation? There was no legal activity.

Services Provided to the Family in Response to the Fatality

Services	Provided After Death	Offered, but Refused	Offered, Unknown if Used	Needed but not Offered	Needed but Unavaliable	N/A	CDR Lead to Referral
Bereavement counseling	X						
Economic support						\boxtimes	
Funeral arrangements						×	
Housing assistance						×	
Mental health services						×	
Foster care						×	
Health care						×	
Legal services						×	
Family planning						×	
Homemaking Services						×	
Parenting Skills	X						
Domestic Violence Services						×	
Early Intervention						×	
Alcohol/Substance abuse						×	
Child Care						×	
Intensive case management						×	
Family or others as safety resources						×	
Other	×						
Other, specify: Toddler Bed							

History Prior to the Fatality

NY-16-073 FINAL Page 9 of 11



Child Information	
Did the shild have a history of alloged shild abuse/maltreatment?	No
Did the child have a history of alleged child abuse/maltreatment? Was there an open CPS case with this child at the time of death?	No
Was the child ever placed outside of the home prior to the death?	No
Were there any siblings ever placed outside of the home prior to this child's death?	
Was the child acutely ill during the two weeks before death?	No
was the emia acately in during the two weeks serore acately	
	D . 14
CPS - Investigative History Three Years Prior to the	Fatality
There is no CPS investigative history in NYS within three years prior to the fatality.	
There is no er a investigative history in 1418 within three years prior to the faunty.	
CPS - Investigative History More Than Three Years Prior to the	Fatality
The family did not have any SCR history.	
Known CPS History Outside of NYS	
The family did not have any known CPS history outside of NYS.	
Required Action(s)	
Are there Required Actions related to compliance issues for provisions of CPS or P	reventive services ?
□Yes ⊠No	
Preventive Services History	
Treventive services firstory	
There is no record of Preventive Services History provided to the deceased child, the dec	ceased child's siblings, and/or the
other children residing in the deceased child's household at the time of the fatality.	
Legal History Within Three Years Prior to the Fatality	
Was there any legal activity within three years prior to the fatality investigation? The	here was no legal activity
was there any regaractivity within three years prior to the facality investigation.	note was no legal activity
Recommended Action(s)	
And there are recommended estimated by least an estate a desire traction.	ass9 □Vas □N-
Are there any recommended actions for local or state administrative or policy chan	ges: Lites MNO

NY-16-073 FINAL Page 10 of 11



Are there any recommended prevention activities resulting from the review? ☐Yes ☒No